

Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

Geographies of Extinction

Journal Item

How to cite:

Garlick, Ben and Symons, Kate (2020). Geographies of Extinction. Environmental Humanities, 12(1) pp. 296–320.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© 2020 The Authors



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/>

Version: Version of Record

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:

<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1215/22011919-8142374>

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk



Geographies of Extinction

Exploring the Spatiotemporal Relations of Species Death

BEN GARLICK

School of Humanities, Religion, and Philosophy, York. St John University, UK

KATE SYMONS

School of Social and Political Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Scotland

Abstract This is an article about extinction, geography, and the geographies of extinction. The emerging field of extinction studies has brought a vibrant corpus of interdisciplinary scholarship that destabilizes static notions of species, traces the spatiality of death and violence in conservation contexts, and raises important political and ethical questions regarding how lives are lost, saved, and valued. Such work offers a counter to the biopolitical tendencies of contemporary conservation discourse, emphasizing the contingent and situated character of life's forms and the processes by which these are, often slowly, severed from place. In this article, the authors draw upon research in diverse contexts—concerning the conservation of ospreys on Speyside, Scotland, and trans-border marine conservation in Mozambique—as a lens through which to demonstrate the multiple ways in which extinctions are “placed.” These are (1) an attention to geographical contingency of wildlife under threat from extinction; (2) the multiple, overlapping, and discordant political and economic geographies of violence, death, and attempted (necessarily partial) protections through which extinction unfolds; and (3) the geographies produced as a result of extinction, be they blasted, spectral, or sites for life amid ruins.

Keywords extinction studies, more-than-human geographies, place, political ecology, conservation.

In 1959, a breeding pair of migratory, fish-eating raptors settled on a Scots pine near Loch Garten, on Speyside in Scotland, UK. These ospreys (*Pandion haliaetus*) marked the definitive return of their species from a national breeding extinction¹ declared in 1916. Once a familiar sight at many highland lochs during the late eighteenth

1. The osprey was declared extinct as a breeding bird in the UK; however, migrants en route to and from their breeding grounds in Norway would occasionally be sighted.

and early nineteenth centuries, the accounts of travelers, writers, and artists portray their presence as animating of a wilder, picturesque scene.² However, with increasing human persecution at nest site, and to and from their West African wintering grounds, the osprey became one of Britain's rarest breeding birds by the mid-1800s.³ Unable to raise young, maintain an eyrie,⁴ or find a mate, the spectral presence of a few lonely birds haunted former Scottish breeding locations in the closing decades of the nineteenth century before their eventual disappearance from the list of recorded British breeding birds.

When the species began to recolonize the forests of Speyside in the 1950s, the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) made a concerted effort to locate and guard any nest sites against possible human disturbance. Their eventual success at Loch Garten via what became known as Operation Osprey saw the site open to accommodate a viewing public. The society's efforts—exemplary of the militarized save-the-species missions that saw many ex-servicemen apply their skills to, and find recuperation in, conservation activities and objectives⁵—are widely celebrated as a triumph of modern, organized species protection.⁶ Tourists and birders alike would flock to view the ospreys at Loch Garten, cultivating a level of enthusiasm for their plight while allowing other returning pairs to nest in (relative) peace.

Fast-forward five decades and traverse some 6,000 miles. In 2009 the Ponta do Ouro Partial Marine Reserve (PPMR) was created in Mozambique by the Peace Parks Foundation and the national government to protect marine habitats and lives off the southern Mozambican coast. A 265-kilometer reserve, it comprises part of the larger Lubombo trans-frontier conservation area (TFCA), linking five land and marine national parks across Mozambique, South Africa, and Zambia. TFCAs are controversial models in which multiple parks are linked across national boundaries to create vast conservation areas, and they represent competing conservation paradigms that draw on historical and contemporary ideas of how nature should be saved. The ostensible goals of a TFCA are threefold: to create rich bioregions and reunite populations of charismatic megafauna, to boost regional development through tourism and investment in tourist infrastructure, and to promote international political relationships and reunite borders artificially drawn by colonialism. They are ecologically and politically ambitious and have been critiqued as neoliberal policy experiments in environmental governance.⁷ TFCAs are funded and managed by non-state philanthropy organisations funded by private investment, making them democratically unaccountable, harking back to old “fences and fines” conservation models of the 1950s and 1960s but updating this for a neoliberal

2. Beattie, *Scotland Illustrated*.

3. Gray, *The Birds of the West of Scotland*.

4. A term for the nests of large raptors, typically situated on a tree, cliff, or rocky precipice.

5. See in particular Adams, *Against Extinction*; Davis, “Militarised Natural History.”

6. Lambert, “Contested Mountains.”

7. Büscher, *Transforming the Frontier*.

era.⁸ The creation and management of the PPMR has a neoliberal logic whereby conservation is conducted in reference to a marketized and spectacular nature.⁹ Under this logic scarcity is good for the business of conservation, while simultaneously, successful conservation depends on promoting markets for protected natures.¹⁰ However, they also include commitments to community development, as seen in natural resource management approaches of the 1980s and 1990s.

As well as repackaging old ideas about conservation into a neoliberal model TFCAs are also about managing particular spaces to meet conventional conservation goals, articulated in terms of levels of biodiversity and population numbers. The PPMR is home to a great variety of charismatic marine animals, including newly discovered nudibranchs (small, colorful sea slugs), nesting leatherback turtles, and resident schools of hammerhead sharks, which—alongside other passing ocean-dwellers such as the tiger shark, great white shark, and humpback whale—hover over the submerged peaks of the Pinnacles, some forty meters below the surface. The reserve also features rare endemic plants, holding together some of the largest vegetated sand dunes in the world. Tracing how species, individually and as ecosystems at multiple scales, figure in the conservation discourses of the reserve reveals how the notion of extinction, its prevention or its inevitability, looms large in practices of conservation management. Considerable resources and efforts are invested in the PPMR (and elsewhere) in relation to the measured viability of different populations of creatures. In the biopolitical calculations shaping marine protected areas in Mozambique, strategic decisions are made over which habitats and lives to protect, or sacrifice, in the face of advancing development and to manage the demands placed on particular charismatic and spectacular natures by the neoliberal conservation model.

Though these examples evoke markedly different spatiotemporal and political contexts, together they illustrate our shared concerns with the actual (or potential) cessation of particular, locatable ways of life; the geographies through which losses occur, or are resisted; how such ecologies are co-produced by capitalist processes; and those natures and landscapes that result. Each story, therefore, narrates and evokes the specter of *extinction*—the terminus of an intergenerational assemblage of being, situated amid a particular spatial, cultural, and environmental context¹¹—and the attempts (human or otherwise) to mitigate and respond to this process *in place*. Knotted together within each example are questions about the specific nature(s) of animal lives and their lived, relational ecologies in place: emergent, contingent, and unruly in both capacities and forms. The trailing threads of extensive social, economic, and political

8. Duffy, "The Potential and Pitfalls of Global Environmental Governance."

9. Igoe, Neves, and Brockington, "A Spectacular Eco-tour round the Historic Bloc."

10. Büscher et al., "Towards a Synthesized Critique of Neoliberal Biodiversity Conservation."

11. van Dooren, *Flight Ways*.

structures of inequality and dominance are in play too, serving to marginalize and degrade both humans and other beings alike across a diverse range of scales, places, and times.¹²

This article reflects our desire to hold onto both the intensive and extensive character of ecologies; encounters with, and wider structures of, violence; specific communities of ospreys and the nebulous constituents assembled in and overflowing the boundaries of a marine reserve. Therefore, building on and continuing the contributions of other geographers to the conversations of the environmental humanities, we seek to do two things here.

Firstly we foreground and advocate for a sense of the *explicitly geographical* within scholarly discussion around the topic of extinction, amidst and beyond the environmental humanities. We, emphasize the place-specific nature of extinction across multiple levels by elaborating three (among doubtless many) registers for placing extinction. These we characterize, in turn, as *extinct geographies*, *extinction's geographies*, and *geographies after extinction*. Each offers a lens, foregrounding different elements of interest within a broader concern with what we tentatively characterize as “geographies of extinction.”¹³ We draw upon existing scholarship in interdisciplinary geography and environmental studies to demonstrate how an attention to place provides a deeper understanding of extinction. This is, therefore, to advocate for an explicit political ecology of extinctions-in-place, recognising the material and spatial alongside the political, economic, cultural and social in world making, and, conversely, in world breaking.

The character of what counts as geographical scholarship is a subject of much debate. Scholarship within the discipline, inflected by the praxis of science studies, continues to wrangle with this question. Necessarily, one's definition of geography must include certain approaches, lineages and scholars, while excluding others, and this is not the place to re-hash these lengthy discussions. Here, therefore, we take a fairly catholic view of geography that begins from two key conceptual starting points. Firstly, we embrace the field's contemporary emphasis of the relational constitution of space/place as a fundamental register of existence; affecting of, and affected by, the interplay of more-than-human actors, agencies, forces and processes, including, but not limited to, the ways in which capitalism co-produces spaces and natures.¹⁴ Secondly, and relatedly, our understanding of geographical praxis builds from Deleuze and Guattari's assertion that geography seeks to “wrest history from the cult of necessity in order to stress the irreducibly of contingency.”¹⁵ In other words, a geographical perspective emphasizes that *where* things happen matters fundamentally for making sense of how, when and why they happen. Thus, our approach to extinction necessitates putting the specificities of extinctions in their place.

12. Haraway, “Cultural Geographies of Extinction.”

14. See in particular Massey, *For Space*; Whatmore, *Hybrid Geographies*; Smith, *Uneven Development*

15. Deleuze and Guattari, *What Is Philosophy?*, 96.

The second, broader aim of this article is to capitalize upon the promise of the environmental humanities as a site for interdisciplinary conversation and exchange, to which geographers are active contributors.¹⁶ Bringing our own research interests together, we add to ongoing conversations concerned with how the ambitions of political ecology might be usefully combined or held in productive tension with the conceptual contributions of relational (or posthumanist) scholarship.¹⁷ We interweave these approaches, and demonstrate their creative application and overlap, by way of selected empirical examples drawn from our individual work into the history of Scottish osprey conservation and the management of the Lubombo Transfrontier Conservation Area in southern Africa, respectively.¹⁸

In recent years, the interdisciplinary milieu of the environmental humanities has provided a home for the array of scholarship emerging around the subject of extinction. This is perhaps unsurprising given the field emerged, in part, out of increasing engagement on the part of humanities scholars in general with the nebulous debates surrounding the Anthropocene.¹⁹ If there is a central thread running through the environmental humanities it is a consistent attempt to address, in some form, the fundamental questions of meaning, value, responsibility, and purpose that arise in relation to living on a planet undergoing profound transformations by (some) human hands.²⁰ Most crucially, advocates argue, to properly grapple with the challenges we now face, environmental research needs a more extensive conceptual vocabulary and to rethink the exceptionalism of the human.²¹

Simultaneously, and with some overlap, political ecologists deploying eco-Marxist theory have highlighted extinction as central to the uneven, lurching expansion of capitalism across time and space, arguing that at the core of different capitalisms remains the ongoing transformation of socionatures for profit.²² Capitalism is driven by the appropriation and transformation of untapped resources into value, with periodic crises

16. Rose et al., "Thinking through the Environment"; Castree, "The Anthropocene and the Environmental Humanities."

17. See in particular the work of Margulies and Bersaglio, "Furthering Post-human Political Ecologies"; Menon and Karthick, "Beyond Human Exceptionalism"; and Srinivasan and Kasturigan, "Political Ecology, Development and Human Exceptionalism."

18. With regard to the former, research into the historical geographies of the Scottish osprey has been largely archive based, involving visits to access collections and resources held variously by the RSPB, National Museums of Scotland, and National Library of Scotland. In addition, many contemporary published accounts were available online (via archive.org or GoogleBooks). Finally, visits to key sites on Speyside, including Loch an Eilein and Loch Garten, also comprised part of this "archival fieldwork." The latter research project, into marine conservation in southern Africa, is largely informed by participant observation and interviews with key stakeholders carried out *in situ*, within Mozambique, between October 2013 and May 2014.

19. See Castree, "The Anthropocene and the Environmental Humanities."

20. Rose et al., "Thinking through the Environment," 1.

21. Rose et al., "Thinking through the Environment," 2.

22. Peluso, "What's Nature Got to Do with It?"

forcing expansion and the exploitation of novel frontiers. The global economy (in its many historic permutations) is understood as an engine of biodiversity loss, folding human and nonhuman lives into colonial, imperialist and globalizing economic structures.²³ Extinctions (conceived, in line with extinction studies, as nonlinear lurching extinctions of ways of life) of humans, nonhumans and, moreover, the life-generating capacities of the biosphere become the near-inevitable outcome of capitalism's fundamental drive to exploit new frontiers.²⁴ At the same time, such crises themselves become opportunities for neoliberal environmental governance experimentation and the creation of new markets based on ecological scarcity.²⁵ As geographers demonstrate, then, the historical geographies of extinction shadow those of capitalism in its past and present permutations; from colonial (more-than-)human exterminations, to the contemporary and historic production of new "cheap natures."²⁶ In placing extinction, we hope to keep hold of these complexities by way of an attention to extinctions' politics of location,²⁷ and the diverse nature of those more-than-human communities experiencing, and causing, the threat of destruction.

Ultimately, in writing about animals on the edge of extinction, we are making claims about what matters, where the violence occurs, and how we might respond to it. We suggest that such claims are inherently about places. In recent years, Donna Haraway, Audra Mitchell and Bruce Erickson have argued that such statements about the future shape which stories we tell about the world and what we conceive to be possible in terms of response.²⁸ Equally, we assert that it matters which places serve as the basis for such stories. We advocate attending to environmental loss by way of situated extinction stories;²⁹ both as a way into the complexity of ecological crisis on the ground, and as a means to question what kinds of narratives come to underwrite broader conceptions of the human-ecology relationship.³⁰ Crucially, we do so in a way that foregrounds geographical contingency, liveliness, and politics.

Over the following sections, we elaborate each of our three registers for placing extinction in turn: extinct geographies, extinction's geographies, and geographies after extinction, illustrating each with reference to the case studies introduced above. Finally, in concluding, we emphasize the specific contributions that such a commitment to placing extinction offers the burgeoning field of extinction studies.³¹

23. Moore, "Transcending the Metabolic Rift."

24. McBrien, "Accumulating Extinction."

25. Smith, "Nature as Accumulation Strategy."

26. Moore, *Capitalism in the Web of Life*.

27. Braidotti, *The Posthuman*, 88.

28. Erickson, "Athropocene Futures"; Haraway, *Staying with the Trouble*; Mitchell, "Revitalizing Laws, (Re)-making Treaties."

29. van Dooren, *Flight Ways*, 8.

30. Heise, "The Environmental Humanities," 29.

31. For an introduction to this field see Rose, van Dooren, and Chrulaw, "Telling Extinction Stories."

Extinct Geographies

What is it that conservationists want to save? What do we stand to lose when a form of life ends? As Charis Thompson argues through her work examining the ontological politics of elephant conservation in Amboseli National Park, Kenya, and we hope to also illustrate in case studies, such questions are often much harder to answer in practice.³² Multiple ontologies of nature, and complex human-nonhuman relations, inevitably enter the fray. There is a fraught politics to the questions of what stands to go extinct; what kinds of natures matter in the face of extinction; and the onto-epistemology of absence. Depending on how these matters are resolved, the manner in which conservationists, politicians, scientists, members of the public and others act to save certain forms of life, in certain places, and judging them to be at risk (or not), differ greatly.³³ After all, endangerment reflects the outcome of biopolitical logics. It is enacted through a whole range of ethical, political, economic and cultural practices of triage.³⁴

Many working within the field of extinction studies argue that biopolitical conservation discourse, organized around the bounded entity of species, often fails absolutely to grasp the full extent of what is lost, both by humans and others.³⁵ Within such a conceptual framework, attention shifts toward the relational constitution of life over assumed essential properties. Thus, any notion of autonomous species is rendered unavailable to think with.³⁶ The species, as Haraway argues, is neither singular nor plural.³⁷ Rather, a multiplicity of different forms of contingent beings, it cannot be understood outside of situated relations with others (including humans). Extinction, from this relational standpoint, then, captures a particular kind of reverberating death: the loss of a way of life, understood as a cross-generational, cross-being assemblage. Extinction entails a suite of collateral losses: the affordance of forms of perception, the availability of creatures to think with in symbolic terms, the foreclosure of potential, future ecologies or communities to name but a few.³⁸ Whether the extinction in question concerns a particular kind of animal, form of language, or cultural practice, there is a sense that some means of making sense of the world, and making a home within it, has been lost.³⁹

To begin, therefore, we argue the need for specificity and attention to what we term extinct *geographies* when seeking to understand the geographies of extinction. By this

32. Thompson, "When Elephants Stand for Competing Philosophies of Nature."

33. For a sense of recent geographers' writing on this issue see Beirmann and Mansfield, "Biodiversity, Purity, and Death"; Braverman, *Wild Life*; Hennessey, "The Molecular Turn in Conservation."

34. Pooley, "Endangered."

35. van Dooren, "The Pain of Extinction," 272.

36. Haraway, *Staying with the Trouble*.

37. Haraway, *When Species Meet*.

38. Smith, "Ecological Community"; Yusoff, "Aesthetics of Loss."

39. K. David Harrison examines the extinction of language as the loss of a means of making sense of worldly phenomena, and argues that such "cultural extinctions" equally entail the loss of a particular form of life (Harrison, *When Languages Die*).

term we mean the place-specific ecological relations, assemblages, and/or entities that are conceived to be at risk as well as those which are actually lost. We do so by way of two empirical vignettes, concerning transfrontier marine conservation in Mozambique; and osprey conservation in Scotland, respectively.

Threatened Geographies

The unravelling of marine life along the southern Mozambican coasts is deeply complex. In conservation policy circles, it is commonly attributed to subsistence livelihoods, particularly the use of certain types of fishing gear, overuse of coastal resources, and the historic poaching of turtles (along with coastal construction for hotels, private homes and businesses).⁴⁰ However, information about the impact of coastal livelihoods on marine life is rather patchy, and other issues such as industrial fishing, illicit shark finning, industrial pollution, marine gas extraction and climate change, and legacies of the independence conflict in which fighters based themselves in national parks, are all contributing to the alarming depletion and contamination of ocean resources in Mozambique (interview with MICOA official, May 14, 2014). To facilitate development interventions, the complexity, multi-scale and multi-temporal unravelling of life must be parsed into solveable problems with achievable solutions: enter the win-win discourse of the TFCA, and its focus on managing conservation through biopolitics.⁴¹

As Srinivasan observes, conservation relies on mitigating and managing trade-offs, for both humans and animals, within a biopolitical “bandwidth of the acceptable,” sometimes permitting harmful interventions in the name of a greater good.⁴² Multiple examples of permissible harm and care were recorded during participant observations within the reserve. For example, the potential harms to resident dolphins from tourism are managed by permitting only a limited number of licensed operators, abiding to a code of conduct. However, harm and discomfort still result from such interactions, despite the efforts of the majority of humans involved. The price paid by individual dolphins, harmed or distressed by boats, or else pushed out of preferred shallows, is justified since the ecology to be saved is understood to span the reserve as a whole. Thus, rationalized in terms of securing a particular assemblage of biodiversity, the welfare of individual dolphins or their social groupings are sacrificed within calculations of allowable harm. These calculations underpin the spatial arrangement of the reserve, wherein specific reefs are likewise rendered sacrificial so that the damage caused by careless scuba divers is contained within specified areas.

Humans, too, are excluded and marginalized by the same spatial logics of violent care that are inflected through the particular geographies and places *where* life will be secured. The lived geographies of protected elephants, lions and other creatures, do not

40. Ponta do Ouro, Partial Marine Reserve Management Plan, 2011.

41. Büscher, *Transforming the Frontier*.

42. Srinivasan, “Caring for the Collective,” 507.

neatly correspond to national boundaries.⁴³ The reserve has driven the planned relocation of several communities, enabling the removal of fences between South Africa and Mozambique and to facilitate rewilding and game restock programs. The goals of these programs are to reunite artificially separated communities of animals, but also to produce a more appealing tourist experience by way of increased numbers of charismatic animals that range across larger areas of “wild” land. This is about both harm and care. Undoubtedly, economic and structural considerations of development (particularly a shift in the economy of the area toward ecotourism) are driving the human evictions, the marketization of certain natures, and the shift toward animal reunification through corridors and rewilding projects. Considerations over what is to be saved from harm in turn drive the rearrangement of particular more-than-human places. The political and moral implications of this are unclear. This shift to considering the autonomy and well-being of specific (in this case, charismatic and lucrative) animals and ecologies in place, necessitates a reckoning with the harm caused by such interactions with human populations.

This brief example from Mozambique highlights the potential conflict between animal and human well-being around claims to place, and the competing geographical ambitions of conservation. In taking animal autonomy and individual well-being seriously, at the same time as we abandon human exceptionalism, we must also reckon with the dilemmas and contradictory claims that arise.⁴⁴ Whose autonomy and life-in-place matters more when marginal human and nonhuman communities come into conflict?

Given the impetus within relational, posthumanist and political ecology literatures around the need to specify the nature of that which is lost through processes of extinction driven by the expansion of capitalism, an attention to extinct geographies might also emphasize the particular kinds of place-ecologies at stake in terms of their more beastly, lived, affective dimensions. That is, the place attachments and cultural behaviours of animals are themselves worthy of ethical attention,⁴⁵ especially regarding why—and how—particular nonhuman lives come to matter (or not) in sociocultural and political contexts. Recent work within more-than-human geographies (and beyond) has done much to emphasize the spatial dimension of ecological difference. The refrains

43. The geographer Lulka (“Stabilizing the Herd”) deploys a Deleuzian conception of life as immanent and open-ended to emphasize the shift toward conservation protocols that instill the need for “migration corridors” and accommodate the mobile lives, such as those expressed by American bison. Likewise, Timothy Hodgetts has emphasized the importance of the concept of connectivity within contemporary ecological management in this journal (“Connectivity”), and in collaboration with Jamie Lorimer in a consideration of “Animals’ Mobilities.”

44. Srinivasan and Kasturirangan, “Political Ecology, Development, and Human Exceptionalism.”

45. This kind of attention has been notably paid within van Dooren and Rose’s problematizing of the concept of “habitat” in favor of more convivial “inhabitantries” (“Storied Places”); the work of Lorimer, Hodgetts, and Barua in elaborating a theoretical understanding of “animals’ atmospheres” (“Animals’ Atmospheres”); and in Garlick’s elaboration of “animal cultures,” which in part informs this article (see “Cultural Geographies of Extinction”).

of migration are one example whereby particular communities of animals (often birds) share and maintain a lived fly-way across a combination of roosting, wintering and feeding haunts, animating sites and facing varied degrees of vulnerability en route depending on the season. The consequences of violence in one place reverberate through the migratory assemblage, generating absences elsewhere.⁴⁶ Equally, specific places become key locations amidst animals' unfolding biographies, maintaining social, or intergenerational, connections with particular areas.⁴⁷ A second example from our research explores the value of paying this kind of attention to place when telling extinction stories.

Avian Places

It can be argued that in the nineteenth-century Scotland's community of ospreys, nesting around numerous northern lochs in the north and west highlands, shared a distinct cultural geography. That is, a locatable way of life, characterized by a common adherence to specific nesting traditions that saw them occupy sites with the specific features of being prominent, rocky outcrops or ruins, often near water.⁴⁸ In turn, their particular existence during this period knotted them into particular configurations—of violence and care—with a variety of human agents. Recognising such extinct geographies becomes important, not merely to afford expressions of such animal difference with ethical significance, but because of what they can reveal about how particular species meetings are made more or less possible under particular historical and geographical conditions.⁴⁹

As a species demonstrating a strong tendency for natal philopatry or site fidelity, as male birds often return to breed among their natal haunts upon reaching maturity, ospreys are palpably charmed by place. In addition, the birds appear demonstrably to prefer those nesting sites echoing the characteristics of the eyries from which they fledged. Numerous ornithologists and ecologists remark on this capacity of ospreys to become imprinted to area, allowing particular nesting traditions to cohere among distinct regional communities of birds. Older individuals tend to return annually to maintain their nests and reunite with a mate, until they are eventually usurped and their sites colonized by subsequent generations. As one of us has argued elsewhere,⁵⁰ we might characterize the form of osprey life particular to Scotland in the nineteenth century by virtue of the birds' geographical orientation, in Sara Ahmed's phrasing. That is,

46. See for example Reinert, "The Landscape Concept as Rupture"; Whitehouse, "Loudly Sing Cuckoo."

47. van Dooren's work on the penguins of Sydney harbour notes the importance of recognising animal ties to place, and the violence that occurs through such ties being ignored or not included within conservation and planning considerations (*Flight Ways*); see also the work of van Patter and Hovorka, on the feline geographies of Ontario and the ways in which cats make and invest significance in place ("Of Place,' or 'of People'").

48. Garlick, "Cultural Geographies of Extinction."

49. Hinchliffe, "Where Species Meet."

50. Garlick, "Cultural Geographies of Extinction."

a communally shared affective recognition and attachment toward particular kinds of “sticky” nesting environments:⁵¹ specifically, rocky outcrops or ruined human structures, chosen at times, it seems, in preference to other suitable tree sites of the kind utilized most commonly today. This orientation, shared across a particular community of birds, characterizes an avian cultural geography, and becomes most profoundly legible when one considers its present-day absence across Scotland. Indeed, as will be discussed below, today these former rock and ruin haunts sit empty, apparently unrecognizable to a contemporary, recolonized osprey community that shapes and inherits a different set of geographical affinities.

Thus, in recognizing such (former) lived geographies one can better grapple with what is actually lost as well as problematizing the biological essentialism of concepts such as extirpation. The notion of extirpation—differentiated from extinction proper as being loss of species from a specified area—is problematic. It renders life fungible and exchangeable across its dynamic spatiotemporalities by arguing that a loss is only permanent (an extinction) if the species as a *whole* is eradicated across its geography in entirety. In such a narrative, place is a mere background, reduced to interchangeable habitat. By contrast, recognising the cultural geographies of animals, their situated ways of living, and the particular kinds of human-nonhuman formations that they enable to persist, allows us to resist the species thinking and inherent human exceptionalism of biopolitical logics. We should, therefore, make space for the vibrant, lively differences that assert “a nonhuman is not a nonhuman, is not a nonhuman.”⁵² As of the debates within cetacean conservation research demonstrate, there is scope to incorporate such thinking into existing biopolitical frameworks. Thus, the shared orientations, like those that characterize a distinct pod of orca whales, become a recognisable facet of their species being, worthy of consideration amidst conservation calculations.⁵³ However, despite allowing us to stay with some of the complexity, such a move does little to challenge discourses of fungible natures.

What’s more, an ever-growing posthumanist literature problematizes any notion that there exists singular nonhuman or human natures. Therefore, in recovering extinct geographies we also recognize that particular humans are part of such geographies, and, indeed, such geographies actualize forms of humanity, subjectivity, and experience.⁵⁴ At Loch an Eilein, in Rothiemurchus estate on Speyside, a pair of ospreys was to be found nesting atop the ruins of a castle in the water. The birds are first recorded in the diaries of Elizabeth Grant, of the Grants that owned the estate within which the

51. Ahmed, “Happy Objects.”

52. Lulka, “The Residual Humanism of Hybridity,” 382.

53. The incorporation of cultural behaviors among pods of orcas off the U.S. West Coast in recent years has seen particular communal practices of whales included within the delineation of “evolutionary significant units,” the value of which determines whether they are to be protected, or sacrificed. See Whitehead and Rendel, *The Cultural Lives of Whales and Dolphins*.

54. Braidotti, *The Posthuman*.

loch sat. In 1808, she recalls the experience of paddling in the shallows, observing the adults tending to their young on the nest.⁵⁵ In the decades that followed, Scottish artist William Beattie, arriving here in the 1830s to sketch the ruins amidst their picturesque surrounds, commented upon the birds' capacity to enchant the landscape, evoke all manner of emotional and romantic associations with gothic fiction in the onlooker.⁵⁶ Later, in the 1870s, another commentator would visit the ospreys at Loch an Eilein and note with much excitement how close the onlooker could get to these birds, which appeared as though they were specimens in a museum.⁵⁷ Soon the ospreys became a regular feature for tourists to the region and visitors were writing to the estate owners, praying they act to secure the birds' presence in the face of falling numbers across Britain.⁵⁸

This site, its combination of particular, contingent relations, is notable for the overall effect of the assemblage it comprised. The osprey nest sat on a castle ruin, set amidst a forested scene of water and mountains fulfilling many of the aesthetic landscape conventions of the day; the proximity of the castle to the shore, enabling onlookers to observe the birds at close quarters; and the capacity of these birds in particular to endure (within limits) the sight and sounds of human presence nearby. Such a combination of human, avian, and wider material conditions cultivated a particular kind of contact zone⁵⁹ in this place: a space in which human and bird subjectivities were (re) made, opening onto potentially more convivial and response-able forms of community. The humans, observing the birds here, came to care about the plight of them and their kin. Their celebrity status even earned Laird Peter Grant the silver medal from the London Zoological Society, in 1895, for his attempts to save them as well as to put pressure on the part of societies like that for the Protection of Birds to lobby local councils to extend additional legal protection. As photographer Richard Kearton wrote of such public encounters with wild birds in the nineteenth century, they enabled onlookers to come to value them, and galvanized support in their name.⁶⁰ Meanwhile, for a time, a particular instance of bird life was sustained in a particular place: one with a preference for castle roosts, and a limited tolerance for human presence. This site, usefully conceptualized as an "interspecies embassy," generated vital conditions at which human and nonhuman could come together, witness one another, and learn to live more convivially.⁶¹ Ultimately, its power was limited, and could not stem the eradication of the Scottish ospreys across their wider migration routes, and their ultimate absence by the

55. Grant, *Memoirs of a Highland Lady*.

56. Beattie, *Scotland Illustrated*, 75.

57. "Loch-an-Eilan and Its Ospreys."

58. A good summary of these events are provided by Lambert, *Contested Mountains*.

59. Haraway, *When Species Meet*.

60. Kearton, *Our Rarer British Breeding Birds*.

61. Taylor and Carter, 'The Agency of Dolphins.'

early twentieth century. Such a geographical arrangement would disappear with them. We now turn to trace more explicitly the geographies across which such losses occur and are resisted.

Extinction's Geographies

The relational thinking that underlies much environmental humanities scholarship on extinction proposes an ontology of species as a cross-generational, assembled “flight way” of interwoven entanglement.⁶² It thus follows that extinction itself be understood in terms of a much broader, often *slower*, process of detachment and severance of the relations that tie contingent ecologies together. Such a process is less spectacular and sudden (the idea of extinction that pervades our cultural imaginary, epitomized by the meteorite that killed the dinosaurs⁶³) than gradual and relentless. Absence emerges with the accretionary, slow violence of capitalist business as usual, and degradation arising out of everyday consumption.⁶⁴

For scholars seeking to narrate, understand and respond to the process of extinction, and the means by which the conditions affording a way of life are gradually eroded, becoming increasingly precarious to the point of the functional extinction that often precedes any final death,⁶⁵ there is a need to extend our interests beyond the catastrophic or violent at hand. Present-day vulnerability, or erasure, is often the end-point of longer historical geographies, betraying far messier politics, temporalities and spatialities of violence that trail across, and knit together, a multitude of places and times.⁶⁶ Both the material conditions of the lives in question, and the forces acting to smother their continuance, are often constituted through relations extending toward sites distant from where their effects are most acutely felt. Just as geographers have argued for the need to couple an appreciation of the situated companionship between humans and animals with a recognition of the wider topographies and topologies of power that affect them,⁶⁷ so too must extinction stories reckon fully with the geographies through which they are produced and conservation responses are (more or less effectively) marshaled.

Human geographers direct vital attention to the importance of place and scale for understanding environmental change. The geographies producing extinction are both contextual, rooted in the specific politics and cultures of a place, and extensive, encompassing global environmental discourses, international geopolitics, and political-economic structures. To this we add the specific affordances of the more-than-human

62. van Dooren, *Flight Ways*; Haraway, *When Species Meet*, 25.

63. See Heise, “Imagining Extinction.”

64. For a useful conceptualization of this process of slow, everyday violence, see Nixon, *Slow Violence*, and Yusoff, “Aesthetics of Loss.”

65. Rose, “Multispecies Knots of Ethical Time.”

66. Collard, “Disaster Capitalism and the Quick, Quick, Slow Unravelling of Animal Life.”

67. See Srinivasan, “Towards a Political Animal Geography?”

lives and deaths that intersect with and coproduce these processes. Thus, concern with extinction's geographies requires mapping the spatiotemporal relations and assemblages that affect violence upon particular ecologies as well the attempts by communities, conservationists, and others to respond to or resist such violence. As vital as it is to understand the contingency of natures to be safeguarded, we must also elaborate the precise nature, in time and space, of the political economies which bring about extinctions. We do so below through further discussion of Mozambique's PPMR.

Mapping the Threats to Marine Turtles

As we have discussed when highlighting the neoliberal logics producing trans-frontier conservation, the PPMR is shaped by highly contradictory forces and competing models of conservation. To illustrate this we examine the conservation of loggerhead and leatherback turtles. A central conservation aim of the PPMR reserve is to protect these turtle species, which nest on the beaches of southern Mozambique and South Africa from October to January each year. Leatherback turtles navigate the oceans and return to the same locations annually, making these places significant within ongoing turtle life histories. Failing to comprehend lived turtle temporalities and the disjoints between "turtle time" and the temporal logics of extractive capitalism produces conditions of violence and precarity.⁶⁸ As Collard discusses in relation to sea otters, animal lives in particular places have, at different times and in different ways, found their existences reoriented by capitalist social relations. As they are drawn into the tangle of particular capitalist systems at particular historical moments, this serves to both slowly weaken, and quickly engulf, conditions for survival.⁶⁹

The longer-term story of Mozambique's sea turtles illustrates how they are subject to structural political and economic factors in this lurching and "quick-quick-slow"⁷⁰ way, including colonial and postcolonial conflict, neoliberal conservation regimes, and capitalist expansion. Turtle lives are coproduced by capitalist social relations, even when not directly commodified. Turtles and their eggs have historically been consumed for food in southern Mozambique. While this practice is undoubtedly foregrounded by conservation management discourses targeting easily mitigated threats in the form of so-called local poachers, it is notable that this practice is also recognized by many as a long-standing issue that has systematically weakened the ability of these animals to reproduce.⁷¹ In comparison, the impacts from such low-level consumption are overshadowed by the taking of turtles and other species for food during the thirty-one-year Mozambican independence conflict (between Mozambican independence forces and former colonial authority Portugal) and during the civil war (in which the Mozambican

68. Bastian, "Encountering Leatherbacks."

69. Collard, "Disaster Capitalism."

70. Collard, "Disaster Capitalism," 910.

71. Ponta do Ouro, Partial Marine Reserve Management Plan.

independent state battled against the South African apartheid government and the internal right-wing agitators it sponsored). Many combatants relocated to national parks, in some cases turning to local wildlife for food.⁷² The dynamics of postcolonial independence and Cold War geopolitics that drove these conflicts were intensely materialized on the southern Mozambican coast. Many relocated here from the capital, Maputo, for safety. Combatants based themselves in the Maputo Special Reserve, to both be within strategic proximity to the South African border and claim hunting rights. Local game and turtle populations were reduced to almost nothing as a consequence.

One of the main intentions of the PPMR was to create a safe habitat for turtles to enable their recovery from these events. Following its designation in 2009, staff within the reserve introduced a monitoring and protection regime, incorporating ranger patrols, turtle tagging, the prohibition of driving on the beach, and reductions in beach lighting from coastal hotels. The turtles have also been enrolled in ecotourism initiatives, such as guided turtle walks, enhancing local and national political buy-in for the reserve through funding development activities. This program is seen as a key success story for the PPMR, credited with reviving the fortunes of Mozambique's turtles.⁷³ However, these animals remain vulnerable to the dynamics of neoliberal conservation and population biopolitics, each entailing sacrificial logics and violent forms of care, for example through too-close tourism encounters, through invasive tagging, and through coastal construction projects that light the beaches and confuse nesting turtles.⁷⁴ In short, at the same time as the PPMR patrols against turtle poaching and aims to create safe spaces to nest, it encourages coastal construction. Moreover, it is largely unable to do anything about wider threats of entanglement in fishing nets and drastic ocean changes brought about by global heating and climate breakdown.

The conditions of life (or otherwise) for sea turtles are thus shaped amidst wider geographies of conflict, predation, conservation biopolitics, and neoliberal human development. Each entails particular sets of practices and places through which harm and care are exercised. It is vital that the extinction threats faced by particular turtle populations be situated within this wider geographical assemblage if they are to be effectively mitigated against. A further threat in the form of extractive capitalism looms: the PPMR may become the site of a new deep-water coal port. The debates around the proposal have been fierce and oftentimes inconclusive, entangled in regional geopolitics and the multiple, competing agendas variously advancing different strategies for accumulation and human development within Mozambique.⁷⁵ What is clear, should the port go ahead, is that the conditions for sea turtle life would (again) change dramatically. The lives of these animals, and their position at the edge

72. Soto, "Protected Areas in Mozambique."

73. Videira, Pereira and Louro, "Monitoring, Tagging and Conservation of Marine Turtles in Mozambique."

74. Srinivasan, "Caring for the Collective."

75. Symons, "The Tangles Politics of Conservation."

of extinction, would become further and differently entrained into the shifting geographical relations of extractive capitalism as they materialize in such places.

Rather than entering an Anthropocene, stories such as that of the PPMR give weight to the alternative labeling of Capitalocene.⁷⁶ This is an epoch marked by capitalism both as a way of (re)imagining environments in accordance with humanist categories, concepts, and institutions (such as development, class, markets, colonies, and commodities) and as the process of producing and organizing nonhuman natures in support of economic outcomes. Far more than an isolated event suffered by nonhuman species, accumulation by extinction has been a central pivot of capitalist expansion across its multiple incarnations.⁷⁷ Such histories, as the experience of Mozambique's turtles demonstrates, unfold unevenly in different places. As Collard observes, the precarious state of particular nonhuman lives is felt at varying paces and scales, as animals are by turns commodified, weakened through ecological disasters, and subjected to harmful care regimes in response to their impending extinction. Like human subjects of modernisation and development plans, nonhuman lives are also subject to varied attempts to commodify, to control and manage, alongside efforts to save and protect.⁷⁸ Political ecologists, and geographers, with an attention to place, connect the specific extinction stories and lives at hand to those broader economic, social and political structures of inequality and power that weave together the near and far. Such stories make legible how extinction is thus less a straight trajectory of decline, than a process of unravelling and remaking life as economic and political conditions structure the possibilities for existence.⁷⁹

Geographies after Extinction

Over the previous two sections we have considered the geographical contingency of those forms of life that we stand to lose through extinction as well as the complex, spatiotemporal relations of violence and care that variously affect if we will or not. In this final section, we expand a third register of concern, crucial to questions of how to politically and ethically respond to those absences already rendered. We designate this the study of geographies after extinction. Such work involves appreciating and mapping something of the haunted geographies of absence that extinctions affect in place,⁸⁰ challenging conventional spatial accounts of extinction and offering a route toward political, ethical, and ecological futures after extinction.

76. Ibid.

77. Ibid., p. 116

78. Prudham, "Sustaining Sustained Yield."

79. Collard, "Disaster Capitalism."

80. The term "geographies of absence" is drawn principally from the work of geographer John Wylie ("Landscape, Absence") who has argued for the need to theorize experiences of landscape in terms of their uncanny, unsettled, and excessive nature, conceived of in part through their hauntings and absences, as much as their presences. See also Jones, "What's the Matter with Ghost Stories?"

Absence can be characterized as the predominant phenomenological feature of extinction.⁸¹ While certainly implying a disappearance, absence for Mitchell also necessitates the proliferation of subjects: spectral figures, ghostly entities and haunting traces. Thus, geographies after extinction are haunted geographies, contingent upon cultivating the particular sensibilities necessary to detect and respond to such hauntings as well as offering up a challenge to geographers and others to reckon with the differential matterings of ghosts.⁸² The notion of the ghost as a social figure prompting transformative recognition has become an increasingly productive conceptual tool within and beyond geographies of loss, bereavement and landscape.⁸³ Likewise questions concerning that which lingers, spectrally, in the wake of Anthropocene environmental destruction prompts a turn toward those times, places, and ecologies “out of joint.”⁸⁴ Encounters with extinction necessitate a consideration of the ethics of environmental dealings. Indeed, as Smith argues, “We might go so far as to say that [any] sense of ecological community could only arise after the recognition that species could indeed go extinct, and that human beings could be responsible.”⁸⁵

Therefore, encounters with places in the wake of extinction necessarily require consideration of their potential to mobilize or propose new or expanded stories, political alliances, and challenges to the orthodoxy of environmental management and governance. Sarah Whatmore has argued that encounters with environmental disturbances have a capacity to force thought, challenging the ontological and epistemological assumptions of publics. Though she is writing in the context of flood-risk management, we echo her sentiments here to argue that encounters with the grim realities and yawning absences of extinction in the landscape, as a profound experience of environmental rupture, have the potential to “occasion new political associations and opportunities.”⁸⁶ As Judith Butler has argued, the question of who has moral status might be answered in relation to that of when (or which) life/lives is/are grievable, with an end conducive of mourning. Considering such questions in relation to the War on Terror, Butler’s concerns have also been extended to the environment via those who argue that mournful encounters are necessary for responding to Anthropocene catastrophe.⁸⁷

81. Mitchell, “Beyond Biodiversity and Species,” 31.

82. Jones, “What’s the Matter with Ghost Stories?”

83. In particular, this notion of the transformative encounter with ghosts comes from the seminal work of sociologist Avery Gordon (*Ghostly Matters*).

84. Derrida proposes a notion of the spectre being signalled by an experience of “time out of joint,” and his hauntology alongside his writing on mourning has served as an inspiration for recent writing on the ethical impact of environmental loss (Cunsolo-Wilcox, “Climate Change as the Work of Mourning”). Moreover, work by Barlow (*Ghosts of Evolution*) and Haraway (*Staying with the Trouble*)—the latter through her SF figuration of “speakers for the dead”—attests to the stranded ecologies and once-symbiotic organisms that remain in the wake of a partnering species’ extinction, existing as monuments to this absence.

85. Smith, “Ecological Community,” 29.

86. Whatmore, “Earthly Powers,” 29.

87. Cunsolo-Wilcox, “Climate Change as the Work of Mourning.”

As such, expanding our notion of geographies after extinction explores how particular places, sites or landscapes bear traces of absence in the wake of ecological destruction. We believe this generates vital ethical and political resources for challenging notions of fungible, neoliberal natures evoked by technocratic or “eco-modernist” responses to the Anthropocene. To illustrate such an approach we return to the story of Loch an Eilein’s ospreys.

The Empty Castle

The nineteenth century had seen Britain’s ospreys, primarily confined to Scotland, face multiple pressures. Naturalists seeking eggs and adult specimens shot the birds found brooding around northern lochs and took any clutches they found. While such collecting activities likely contributed to the collapse of osprey nesting in the northern Highlands, where the use of rock precipices for eyrie construction was most common, it was the killing of birds on migration south from the 1820s that ultimately unraveled this community. The continuance of the Scottish ospreys, and their nesting culture, required returning adults to breed, raise young, and maintain nests. Also required were subsequent generations of matured, younger birds to continue these place traditions. Despite local protections at some sites, such as Loch an Eilein, the patchwork of wild bird protection law (only introduced beyond seabirds and game in UK in the 1870s) meshed awkwardly with the migration routes of living ospreys. Running “a gauntlet of innumerable shot-guns”⁸⁸ en route south for winter, supplies of new (and old) blood were depleted. From their declared extinction in 1916, until their triumphant return under the protection of the RSPB in 1959, the birds would remain largely absent from Britain.

A trip to present-day Speyside is for many tourists incomplete without a visit to the Loch Garten osprey center. From the public hide one can observe the birds on the eyrie through a variety of binoculars and telescopes. There is a live HD feed from within the nest. Volunteer wardens, on hand, offer interpretations of avian behavior, giving a sense of the species’ tumultuous, ultimately triumphant history. Palpably, the site is an important locus for human-bird meetings, under carefully managed, asymmetric conditions of proximity and visibility. This encounter facilitates human-osprey enchantment: the recognition of a significant other demanding ethical involvement in ensuring its future survival.⁸⁹ The visitor leaves knowing the species is doing well. All is not (yet) lost.

The osprey’s twentieth-century story in Britain, shuttling to-and-fro across the line of presence/absence, palpably endows it with a certain frisson: the sense of “now you see it, now you don’t” that, likewise, for Kathryn Yusoff animates the atmospherics of encounter with other threatened species such as the polar bear.⁹⁰ Unlike these bears, however, ospreys are flourishing: of “least concern,” according to the IUCN.⁹¹ But the

88. Kearton, *Our Rarer British Breeding Birds*, 61.

89. Haraway, *When Species Meet*.

90. Yusoff, “Biopolitical Economies,” 89.

91. BirdLife International, “Osprey.”

osprey's dalliance with site-specific species death *does* imbue it with a haunting affect. Ospreys nesting in Scotland today carry an amount of spectral baggage. They express, through their contemporary ecology, an avian geography "out of joint." A mere fifteen kilometers (as osprey's fly) from Loch Garten sits the castle ruins of Loch an Eilein. Once the site of an intergenerational osprey residency, maintenance, and inheritance, this place, like other former rock and ruin sites once tenanted in the north, no longer figures as a viable (or recognizable) nesting location.⁹²

One can visit Loch an Eilean, managed by the Rothiemurchus estate, en route to the RSPB observation post at Loch Garten. The visitor might call into the nearby Inverdrue fisheries, where many Speyside ospreys catch their prey (permitted for the sake of tourist revenue).⁹³ When one of us visited the empty castle in July 2014, the atmosphere could not have felt less mournful. The sun was out, families lounged and bathed in the waters of the loch. Some swam out to, and clambered over, the castle. In a nearby gift shop hidden behind shelves of souvenirs were the remaining panels of an information display concerning the castle's history. Regarding the ospreys once found there, the panels lamented their loss but celebrated the fact that the species once again bred on Speyside. There was little sense, though, that anything had been lost. Yet figuring the osprey as a particular and situated flight-way, woven across time, space, and relations, proposes something else. This kind of relational ontology contests both the framing of species and place as interchangeable. Geographies after extinction map places populated with absences; niches left unfilled or closed, ghosted by former ways of living. To see such ghosts at Loch an Eilean demands a different way of seeing, a different kind of ecology, and a political awakening to the fact that such losses endure.

Conclusion

Geographers have been active contributors to the environmental humanities project. Here we have sought to continue in this vein by emphasising the value of cultivating a place-specific attention to the unfolding of extinction and the landscapes this leaves behind. Over the course of this article we have, with the aid of empirical examples, advocated a tripartite attention to the geographies of extinction. We articulate extinction stories with a material, political, and conceptual firmness, worked through their underlying and emergent political and more-than-human geographies. We have also sought to demonstrate how such a geographical approach to extinction necessitates both a political attention to the larger, structuring forces affecting environmental crises, alongside appreciation for the vibrant, excessive difference and agency of nonhumans within ecologies.

We believe that such an approach sharpens our accounts of extinction. To ignore political context and the ways in which distant decisions, actions, and agendas texture

92. Garlick, "Cultural Geographies of Extinction."

93. Lambert, "In Search of Wilderness," 43.

the occasion of species meetings (and where they meet) reifies the encounter, disabling the possibility for critique to reach beyond the immediate.⁹⁴ At the same time, ignoring the disruptive effects and agential roles of nonhumans when considering how ecologies are used, abused, produced, managed, and governed in line with the logics of extractive capital and “cheap nature” assumes an exceptional position for the human. One remains blind to the fulsome nature of the agents involved and reinstates a problematic nature-culture dichotomy via such omission.⁹⁵

What we hope to have achieved here is to demonstrate how an attention to *where* extinctions happen is fundamental to understanding *why* they happen, *how* they might be responded to, and for critiquing the fundamental assumptions about the interchangeable nature of nonhuman life that sit, violently, at the core of contemporary conservation logics. Extinction is a deeply geographical phenomenon: there is no singular extinction, only a multiplicity of sited extinctions. That is not to deny such events are connected, interwoven, or co-constitutive of one another. Many environmental catastrophes share similar causes. Yet one should recognize that the story of extinction in one locale cannot necessarily be understood with recourse to blanket theoretical frameworks developed elsewhere. This is not least, as we have argued, because the nature of that which stands to go extinct is itself geographically contingent. The forces at play, driving or resisting that extinction, only become legible amidst the situation of their specific entanglement. The outcomes of an extinction, and the kinds of lives, landscapes and political-ethical frameworks left behind, can only be apprehended on the ground, amidst particular ecologies “incessantly ghosted by elsewhere and elsewhere.”⁹⁶

As for concrete steps for a praxis that acknowledges extinction-in-place, we conclude by drawing attention to emerging agendas that foreground the uneven production of conservation space and the role of global class inequalities. These include an approach to conservation that is not centred around the consumption of reified and spectacular nature, but asks us to recognize the every-day natures that are closer to home, rethinking the political-economic relationships that fund technocratic and neo-liberal conservation solutions (such as private philanthropists and corporations), and agitate for radical changes to the global economy, including supporting decarbonisation, degrowth and redistributive agendas for wealth and land.⁹⁷

In sum, if we are to respond to, and resist, the “ecocide” that Felix Guattari argues to be intrinsic to our era, then we must promote the conditions for vibrant difference and heterogeneity across multiple registers of thought and action.⁹⁸ We must resist the homogenising forces of capital. We must encourage the growth of new political and

94. Srinivasan, “Towards a Political Animal Geography?”

95. Margulies and Bersaglio, “Furthering Post-human Political Ecologies.”

96. Wylie and Webster, “Eye-Opener.”

97. Büscher and Fletcher, “Towards Convivial Conservation.”

98. Guattari, *The Three Ecologies*.

social relations fostering connections across, through and between communities often considered disparate or antagonistic. We must recalibrate our conceptual apparatus, rejecting stale, arborescent modes of thinking about the environment, in favour of those which grasp vibrant differences and the relations of contagion, involution and intermingling occurring between never-pure entities. As Haraway argues, “It matters what thoughts think thoughts.”⁹⁹ A place-attentive approach, stressing “the irreducibility of contingency,”¹⁰⁰ is the route we choose to cultivate such an approach that is both relational and just, capable of responding, conceptually and politically, to the challenges of the Anthropocene.

BEN GARLICK, PhD, is a lecturer in geography at York St. John University. His research interests include exploring the geographies of animal cultures, extinction, and theories of landscape. He has worked on the conservation history of the osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*) in Scotland, UK, and is currently researching peatbogs as Anthropocene landscapes.

KATE SYMONS, PhD, is part of the Mastercard Foundation Scholarship team at the University of Edinburgh. The research on which this article is based was undertaken while at the Institute of Geography at the University of Edinburgh, where she focused on political ecologies of transfrontier marine conservation in Mozambique. More recently Kate has been involved in considering digital technologies in international development funding and governance as well as global access to higher education.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the editors of *Environmental Humanities*—particularly Thom van Dooren—for their support throughout the writing and review process. Our gratitude also to the three anonymous reviewers whose suggestions helped improve this article immeasurably. We were glad to have the opportunity to present some of these ideas at the Royal Geographical Society annual conference held in London in 2017, and we wish to show our appreciation for the audience members who shared valuable questions and comments and to the fellow presenters for sharing their own research. Finally, we thank all those involved in the production of this special issue and both Louise and Brian for tolerating the process.

References

- Adams, William. *Against Extinction: The Story of Conservation*. London: Earthscan, 2004.
- Ahmed, Sara. “Happy Objects.” In *The Affect Theory Reader*, edited by Melissa Gregg and Gregory J. Seigworth, 29–51. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2010.
- Bastian, Michelle. “Encountering Leatherbacks in Multispecies Knots of Time.” In *Extinction Studies: Stories of Time, Death and Generations*, edited by Deborah Bird Rose, Thom van Dooren, and Matthew Chrulew, 149–85. New York: Colombia University Press, 2017.
- Bakker, Karen. “The Limits of ‘Neoliberal Natures’: Debating Green Neoliberalism.” *Progress in Human Geography* 34, no. 6 (2010): 715–35.

99. Haraway, *Staying with the Trouble*, 35.

100. Deleuze and Guattari, *What Is Philosophy*, 96.

- Beattie, William. *Scotland Illustrated in a Series of Views Taken Expressly for this Work*. Vol. 2. London: George Virtue, 1838.
- Biermann, Christine, and Becky Mansfield. "Biodiversity, Purity, and Death: Conservation Biology as Biopolitics." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 32, no. 2 (2014): 257–73.
- Braidotti, Rosi. *The Posthuman*. Cambridge: Polity, 2013.
- Braverman, Irus. *Wild Life: The Institution of Nature*. Stanford CA: Stanford University Press, 2015.
- Brockington, Dan, Rosaleen Duffy, and Jim Igoe. *Nature Unbound: Conservation, Capitalism, and the Future of Protected Areas*. London: Earthscan, 2008.
- Brockington, Dan, and Jim Igoe. "Eviction for Conservation: A Global Overview." *Conservation and Society* 4, no. 3 (2006): 424.
- Büscher, Bram. *Transforming the Frontier: Peace Parks and the Politics of Neoliberal Conservation in Southern Africa*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2013.
- Büscher, Bram, and Robert Fletcher. "Towards Convivial Conservation." *Conservation and Society* 17, no. 3 (2019): 283–96.
- Büscher, Bram, Sian Sullivan, Katja Neves, Jim Igoe, and Dan Brockington. "Towards a Synthesized Critique of Neoliberal Biodiversity Conservation." *Capitalism Nature Socialism* 23, no. 2 (2012): 4–30.
- Castree, Noel. "The Anthropocene and the Environmental Humanities: Extending the Conversation." *Environmental Humanities* 5, no. 1 (2014): 233–60.
- Cavanagh, Connor J. "Biopolitics, Environmental Change, and Development Studies." *Forum for Development Studies* 41, no. 2 (2014): 273–94.
- Collard, Rosemary-Claire. "Disaster Capitalism and the Quick, Quick, Slow Unravelling of Animal Life." *Antipode* 40, no. 4 (2018): 910–28.
- Collard, Rosemary-Claire, and Jessica Dempsey. "Life for Sale? The Politics of Lively Commodities." *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 45, no. 11 (2013): 2682–99.
- Collard, Rosemary-Claire, Jessica Dempsey, and Juanita Sundberg. "A Manifesto for Abundant Futures." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 105, no. 2 (2015): 322–30.
- Cunsolo-Wilcox, Ashlee. "Climate Change as the Work of Mourning." *Ethics and the Environment* 17, no. 2 (2012): 137–64.
- Davis, Sophia. "Militarised Natural History: Tales of the Avocet's Return to Postwar Britain." *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 42, no. 2 (2011): 226–32.
- Deleuze, Gilles, and Félix Guattari. *What Is Philosophy?* New York: Columbia University Press, 1994.
- Duffy, Rosaleen. "The Potential and Pitfalls of Global Environmental Governance: The Politics of Trans-Frontier Conservation Areas in Southern Africa." *Political Geography* 25, no. 1 (2006): 89–112.
- Erickson, Bruce. "Anthropocene Futures: Linking Colonialism and Environmentalism in an Age of Cisis." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 38, no. 1 (2018): 111–28.
- Fletcher, Robert, Wolfram Dressler, Zachary Anderson, and Bram Büscher. "Natural Capital Must Be Defended: Green Growth as Neoliberal Biopolitics." *Journal of Peasant Studies* 46, no. 5 (2019): 1068–95.
- Foucault, Michel. *The History of Sexuality. Volume 1: An Introduction*. New York: Random House, 1978.
- Garlick, Ben. "Cultural Geographies of Extinction: Animal Culture among Scottish Ospreys." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 44, no. 2 (2019): 226–41.
- Ginn, Franklin, Uli Beisel, and Maan Barua. "Flourishing with Awkward Creatures: Togetherness, Vulnerability, Killing." *Environmental Humanities* 4, no. 1 (2014): 113–23.
- Grant, Elizabeth. *Memoirs of a Highland Lady*. London: John Murry, 1972.
- Gray, Robert. *The Birds of the West of Scotland, Including the Outer Hebrides*. Glasgow: Thomas Murray and Son, 1871.
- Gordon, Avery F. *Ghostly Matters: Haunting and the Sociological Imagination*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2008.

- Guattari, Felix. *The Three Ecologies*. London: Bloomsbury, 2014.
- Haraway, Donna J. "Anthropocene, Capitalocene, Plantationocene, Chthulucene: Making Kin." *Environmental humanities* 6, no. 1 (2015): 159–65.
- Haraway, Donna J. *Staying with the Trouble: Making Kin in the Chthulucene*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2016.
- Haraway, Donna J. *When Species Meet*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2008.
- Harrison, K. David. *When Languages Die: The Extinction of the World's Languages and the Erosion of Human Knowledge*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- Heise, Ursula K. *Imagining Extinction: The Cultural Meanings of Endangered Species*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2016.
- Heise, Ursula K. "The Environmental Humanities and the Futures of the Human." *New German Critique* 43, no. 2 (2016): 21–31.
- Hennessy, Elizabeth. "The Molecular Turn in Conservation: Genetics, Pristine Nature, and the Rediscovery of an Extinct Species of Galápagos Giant Tortoise." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 105, no. 1 (2015): 87–104.
- Hinchliffe, Steve. "Where Species Meet." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 28, no. 1 (2010): 34–35.
- Hodgetts, Timothy. "Connectivity." *Environmental Humanities* 9, no. 2 (2017): 456–59.
- Hodgetts, Timothy, and Jamie Lorimer. "Animals' Mobilities." *Progress in Human Geography* 44, no. 1 (2018): 4–26.
- Igoe, Jim, Katja Neves, and Dan Brockington. "A Spectacular Eco-Tour around the Historic Bloc: Theorising the Convergence of Biodiversity Conservation and Capitalist Expansion." In *Antipode* 42, no. 3 (2010): 486–512.
- International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List. "Birdlife International 2019. *Pandion haliaetus* (Amended Version of 2016 Assessment)." dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T22694938A155519951.en (accessed January 27, 2020).
- Jones III, John Paul. "What's the Matter with Ghost Stories?" *GeoHumanities* 5, no. 1 (2019): 278–81.
- Kearton, Richard. *Our Rarer British Breeding Birds: Their Nests, Eggs, and Summer Haunts*. London: Cassell Ltd., 1899.
- Lambert, Robert A. *Contested Mountains: Nature, Development, and Environment in the Cairngorms Region of Scotland, 1880–1980*. Cambridge: Whitehorse Press, 2001.
- Lambert, Robert A. "In Search of Wilderness, Nature, and Sport: The Visitor to Rothiemurchus, 1780–2000." In *Rothiemurchus: Nature and People on a Highland Estate, 1500–2000*, edited by Christopher Smout and Robert A. Lambert, 32–59. Edinburgh: Scottish Cultural Press, 1999.
- "Loch-an-Eilan and Its Ospreys." *The Scotsman*, June 9, 1879. BLo000540.18790609.119.0005 (accessed February 25, 2016).
- Lorimer, Jamie, Timothy Dgetts and Maan Barua. "Animals' Atmospheres." *Progress in Human Geography* 43, no. 1 (2019): 26–45.
- Lulka, David. "Stabilizing the Herd: Fixing the Identity of Nonhumans." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 22, no. 3 (2004): 439–63.
- Lulka, David. "The Residual Humanism of Hybridity: Retaining a Sense of the Earth." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 34, no. 3 (2009): 378–93.
- Margulies, Jared D., and Brock Bersaglio. "Furthering Post-Human Political Ecologies." *Geoforum* 94 (2018) 103–6.
- Massey, Doreen. *For Space*. London: Sage, 2005.
- McBrien, Justin. "Accumulating Extinction." In *Anthropocene or Capitalocene? Nature, History, and the Crisis of Capitalism*, edited by Jason Moore, 116–37. Oakland, CA: PM Press, 2016.
- Menon, Ajit, and Manasi Karthik. "Beyond Human Exceptionalism: Political Ecology and the Non-Human World." *Geoforum* 79 (2017): 90–92.
- Mitchell, Audra. "Beyond Biodiversity and Species: Problematizing Extinction." *Theory, Culture, and Society* 33, no. 5 (2016): 23–42.

- Mitchell, Audra. "Revitalizing Laws, (Re)-Making Treaties, Dismantling Violence: Indigenous Resurgence against 'the Sixth Mass Extinction.'" *Social and Cultural Geography* (2018). doi.org/10.1080/14649365.2018.1528628.
- Moore, Jason W. *Capitalism in the Web of Life: Ecology and the Accumulation of Capital*. London: Verso Books, 2015.
- Moore, Jason W. "Transcending the Metabolic Rift: A Theory of Crises in the Capitalist World-Ecology." *Journal of Peasant Studies* 38, no. 1 (2011): 1–46.
- Neumann, Roderick P. "The Production of Nature: Colonial Recasting of the African Landscape in Serengeti National Park." In *Political Ecology: An Integrative Approach to Geography and Environment-Development Studies*, edited by Karl S. Zimmerer and Thomas J. Bassett, 240–55. London: Guildford Press, 2003.
- Nixon, Rob. *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2011.
- Peluso, Nancy Lee. "What's Nature Got to Do with It? A Situated Historical Perspective on Socio-Natural Commodities." *Development and Change* 43, no. 1 (2012): 79–104.
- Ponta do Ouro. Partial Marine Reserve Management Plan, Version 2, 2011 (hard copy accessed June 1, 2019).
- Pooley, Simon. "Endangered." *Environmental Humanities* 7, no. 1 (2016): 259–63.
- Prudham, Scott. "Sustaining Sustained Yield: Class, Politics, and Post-War Forest Regulation in British Columbia." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 25, no. 2 (2007): 258–83.
- Reinert, Hugo. "The Landscape Concept as Rupture: Extinction and Perspective in a Norwegian Fjord" In *Ruptured landscape: Landscape, Identity, and Social Change*, edited by Helen Sooväli-Sepping, Hugo Reinert, and Jonathan Miles-Watson, 41–54. London: Springer, 2015.
- Rose, Deborah Bird. "Multispecies Knots of Ethical Time." *Environmental Philosophy* 9, no. 1 (2012): 127–40.
- Rose, Deborah Bird, and Thom van Dooren. "Unloved Others: Death of the Disregarded in the Time of Extinctions." *Australian Humanities Review* 50 (2011): 1–4.
- Rose, Deborah Bird, Thom van Dooren, and Matthew Chrulew. "Telling Extinction Stories." In *Extinction Studies: Stories of Time, Death, and Generations*, edited by Deborah Bird Rose, Thom van Dooren, and Matthew Chrulew, 1–17. New York, Colombia University Press, 2017.
- Rose, Deborah Bird, Thom van Dooren, Matthew Chrulew, Stuart Cooke, Matthew Kearnes, and Emily O'Gorman. "Thinking Through the Environment, Unsettling the Humanities." *Environmental Humanities* 1, no. 1 (2012): 1–5.
- Smith, Mick. "Ecological Community, the Sense of the World, and Senseless Extinction." *Environmental Humanities* 2, no. 1 (2013): 21–41.
- Smith, Neil. "Nature as Accumulation Strategy." *Socialist Register* 43 (2007): 16–36.
- Smith, Neil. *Uneven Development: Nature, Capital, and the Production of Space*. London: Verso, 2010.
- Soto, Bartolomeu. "Protected Areas in Mozambique." In *Evolution and Innovation in Wildlife Conservation: Parks and Game Ranches to Trans-Frontier Conservation Areas*, edited by Helen Suich, Brian Child, and Anna Spenceley, 103–18. London: Earthscan, 2009.
- Srinivasan, Krithika. "Caring for the Collective: Biopower and Agential Subjectification in Wildlife Conservation." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 32, no. 3 (2014): 501–17.
- Srinivasan, Krithika. "Towards a Political Animal Geography?" *Political Geography* 50 (2016): 76–78.
- Srinivasan, Krithika, and Rajesh Kasturirangan. "Political Ecology, Development, and Human Exceptionalism." *Geoforum* 75 (2016): 125–28.
- Sundberg, Juanita. "Decolonizing Posthumanist Geographies." *Cultural Geographies* 21, no. 1 (2014): 33–47.
- Symons, Kate. "The Tangled Politics of Conservation and Resource Extraction in Mozambique's Green Economy." *Journal of Political Ecology* 25, no. 1 (2018): 488–507.
- Taylor, C. Scott, and Jennifer Carter. "The Agency of Dolphins: Towards Interspecies Embassies as Sites of Engagement with 'Significant Otherness.'" *Geographical Research* 51, no. 1 (2013): 1–10.

- Thompson, Charis. "When Elephants Stand for Competing Philosophies of Nature: Amboseli National Park, Kenya." In *Complexities: Social Studies of Knowledge Practices*, edited by John Law and Annemarie Mol, 166–90. Durham NC: Duke University Press, 2002.
- Tsing, Anna Lowenhaupt. *The Mushroom at the End of the World: On the Possibility of Life in Capitalist Ruins*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2015.
- van Dooren, Thom. "Authentic Crows: Identity, Captivity, and Emergent Forms of Life." *Theory, Culture, and Society* 33, no. 2 (2016): 29–52.
- van Dooren, Thom. *Flight Ways: Life and Loss at the Edge of Extinction*. New York: Colombia University Press, 2014.
- van Dooren, Thom. "Pain of Extinction: The Death of a Vulture." *Cultural Studies Review* 16, no. 2 (2010): 271–89.
- van Dooren, Tom, and Deborah Bird Rose. "Storied-Places in a Multispecies City." *Humanimalia* 3, no. 2 (2012): 1–27.
- Van Patter, Lauren E., and Alice J. Hovorka. "'Of Place' or 'of People': Exploring the Animal Spaces and Beastly Places of Feral Cats in Southern Ontario." *Social and Cultural Geography* 19, no. 2 (2018): 275–95.
- Videira, Eduardo J. S., Marcos A. M. Pereira, and C. M. Louro. "Monitoring, Tagging, and Conservation of Marine Turtles in Mozambique: Annual Report 2010/11." Maputo, Mozambique: AICM/GTT, 2011.
- Whatmore, Sarah J. "Earthly Powers and Affective Environments: An Ontological Politics of Flood Risk." *Theory, Culture, and Society* 30, nos. 7–8 (2013): 33–50.
- Whatmore, Sarah J. *Hybrid Geographies: Natures, Cultures, Spaces*. London: Sage, 2002.
- Whitehead, Hal, and Luke Rendell. *The Cultural Lives of Whales and Dolphins*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2015.
- Whitehouse, Andrew. "Loudly Sing Cuckoo: More-Than-Human Seasonalities in Britain." *Sociological Review* 65, no. 1 (2017): 171–87.
- Wylie, John. "Landscape, Absence, and the Geographies of Love." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 34, no. 3 (2009): 275–89.
- Wylie, John, and Catrin Webster. "Eye-Opener: Drawing Landscape Near and Far." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 44, no. 1 (2019): 32–47.
- Yusoff, Kathryn. "Aesthetics of Loss: Biodiversity, Banal Violence, and Biotic Subjects." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 37, no. 4 (2012): 578–92.
- Yusoff, Kathryn. "Biopolitical Economies and the Political Aesthetics of Climate Change." *Theory, Culture, and Society* 27, nos. 2–3 (2010): 73–99.